

STUDY HABITS OF FAILING FRESHMEN

ROBERT L. WILLIAMS

Tan **PROBLEM**

Many quantitative analyses have been reported which dealt with the number of failing students, the amount of time students spend in studying, as correlated with the success of studying, or grades, and other such factors. There have been fewer studies dealing with the qualitative analyses of the academic activity of college students. Recent discussions by prominent educators have brought to light much quantitative data regarding the study habits of college students. These discussions have concluded that there is no relationship between the amount of time spent in study and the quality of accomplishment. In other words, students who spend the largest amount of time studying frequently learn least. Such discussions concluded at this point without considering the qualitative aspects of the study habits of college students. It seems obvious from the conclusion reached that academic success depends as much upon the quality as upon the quantity of study.

The following pages present a discussion of the qualitative characteristics of the study habits of 94 students who were freshmen during the session of 1931-32 at the Mississippi State College for Women. These 94 students were reported by their teachers, at the conclusion of the first midsemester, the first semester, or the second mid-semester, as having less than a passing average in one or more subjects. Each of these 94 failing students was interviewed one or more times, were given reading tests, and their study habits were surveyed with the use of a mimeographed schedule. The validity of the information regarding the study habits of this group of failing students depends upon the honesty of the students concerned. The following directions were placed on the first page of the survey of

"In the following pages you will find a series of questions dealing with study habits. You are asked to answer each question in such a way that the Division of Educational Research' will be able to get from your answer a complete description of the way in which you study.

"The sole purpose of this survey is to discover the inefficient habits of study, if any, which you are now using that probably contribute something to the unsatisfactory status of your academic work at the present time.

"When you have answered all the questions asked about your study habits, you will be informed of any difficulty that you may now be experiencing due to study difficulties. Recommendations will be made to you indicating ways of avoiding these difficulties that, if put in practice, will do much toward removing the difficulties indicated.

"Remember that the sole purpose of this survey is to help you. For that reason, ANSWER EACH QUESTION AS TRUTHFULLY AS YOU CAN. To cover up your true habits of study makes it impossible for this office to aid you along these lines.

"The privacy of your name and your answers will be strictly respected."

In addition to securing the above-mentioned information from the students, the teachers under whom the students made failing grades were asked to give their opinions regarding the factors that probably contributed to the unsatisfactory work of the student.

It is the purpose of this discussion to present an analysis of the data secured from the sources mentioned and to suggest opportunities that will perhaps enable the adviser and the student to eliminate at least some of the factors that are thought to have caused unsatisfactory work.

THE DATA

Characteristics of Failing Students. The 94 failing students of the session 1931-32 are, as a group, somewhat inferior in intelligence and in information acquired in high school to the entire freshman class and to the freshmen who did not make failing grades in any subject. The class as a whole is somewhat inferior to the members of the class that did not

r The writer was Director of Educational Research at the

17 make any failing grades during the session. This is shown in Table I which shows the percentile ranks of the intelli

gence quotients of the failing students, the entire class, and non-failing students. Table II presents the same data regarding the

students' knowledge of English grammar, as mealTABLE I
PERCENTILE RANKS OF I.Q.'s DERIVED FROM OTIS

INTELLIGENCE TEST
PERCENTILE Failing ENTIRE NON-FAILING RANKS STUDENTS CLASS
STUDENTS 100 119 124 124 95 113 118 119 90

111

117

118 85 109 115 117 80 108 113 116 75 107 112 115 70 106
111 114 65 104 110 113 60 103 109 112 55 102 108 111 50
101 107 110 45

99 106 109 40 98 104 108 35 97 103 107
30 96 102 106

25 95 100 105

20 93 99 104

15 92 96 102

10 90 94 101

'5 87 91 100

0 80 80
90 S.D. 7.75 8.05 7.4 Number of

stu

dents 94 236 142 ured by the Cross Test. Table III
presents information for the three groups coming from
the Markham Vocabulary
Test. Table IV presents the results of the American
Council History Test.

An analysis of Tables I to IV brings out three facts:

1. The scores made by failing students entitling them to a given percentile rank, are in each test, inferior to the score made by the entire class entitling them to the same percentile rank. Furthermore, the scores made by these stu-

dents are inferior to those made by the non-failing students entitling them to the same percentile rank. Table I, for instance, shows that the 50th percentile rank for failing students represents an I. Q. of 101; for the entire class it represents an I. Q. of 107; and for the non-failing students it represents an I. Q. of 110.

TABLE II

PERCENTILE RANKS IN CROSS GRAMMAR TEST																																
PERaEaTna	FAILING	ENTIRE	Nox-rAlaxG	SANKS	STUDENTS	CLASS	STUDENTS																									
100	169	174	174	95	158	166	168	90	151	163	165	85																				
147	160	163	80	144	157	161	75	142	154	159	70	140																				
151	157	65	137	149	155	60	134	147	153	55	132	144																				
151	50	130	142	149	45	128	140	147	40	126	138	146																				
35	125	135	144	30	124	132	142	25	122	128	140	20																				
	120	126	137	15	113						123	134																				
10	107										118	127																				
5	101		107		121																											
0		95			95	100	S.D.	15.90																								
16.75	14.35	Number of stu																														
nts	94	236	142	2.	The standard deviation is generally conceded																											
to	be the most useful measure of dispersion in dealing with																															
groups	of data. The standard deviation is computed for the three																															
groups	of students in each table and appears as next to the last																															
of	each table. A measure of dispersion in data of this type is a																															
good	measure of homogeneity or. heterogeneity of the groups																															
involved.	A study of Tables I to IV will show that the standard																															
	deviation is smaller for non																															

19 failing students than for failing students, and, with one exception (American History) it is smaller for each of these than for the entire class. The sizes of these standard deviations indicate relative homogeneity of the groups. The standard deviation of failing students is second in size, indicating that they are less

homogeneous, and the standard TABLE III
PERCENTILE RANKS IN VOCABULARY TEST PERCENTILE FAILING ENTIRE NoN-rAmIxa
RAxss STUDENTS CLASS STUDENTS 100 104 139 139 95 98 108 110 90 93
103 107

	85		91	100	104		
	80		87		97	101	
75	84	95	98				
70	81	93	97				
65	79	90	95				
60	77	88	94				
55	75	86	92				
50	73	84	90				
45	71	82	88				
	40		70		80	86	
35	68	76	85				
30	66	74	83				
25	65	71	81				
20	61	68	78				
15	54	65	73				
10	49	60	69				
	5	44	51	62			
	0		35		35		
			55	S.D.	15.5	17.80	14.75

Number of stu
dents 94 236 142 deviation for the entire class is the largest of the three, indicating that the entire class is more heterogeneous than the ,
two smaller groups. Table I, for instance, shows that the standard deviation of the I. Q. of the entire class is 8.05; whereas the standard deviation of the failing students is 7.75, and of the non-failing students, 7.4. This coupled with the percentile ranking means that insofar as intelligence alone is concerned the non-failing students have a higher

average than the other groups. The failing students with a standard deviation of 7.75 rank second in homogeneity, and the entire class is more heterogeneous insofar as intelligence is concerned than the two smaller groups in question.

This same line of reasoning may be applied to Tables II, III, and IV.

TABLE I V

PERCENTILE RANKS IN AMERICAN HISTORY TEST				PERCENTAGE FAILING ENTIRE NoN-niueG			
EABNS STUDENTS CLASS STUDENTS				100	89	114	114
95	68	86	89				
90	61	75	83				
85	54	69	76				
80	52	65	71				
75	50	62	67				
70	47	57	65				
65	44	56	62				
60	42	53	60				
55	39	50	58				
50	38	48	56				
45	36	45	53				
40	35	43	50				
35	33	41	48				
30	30	39	45				
25	28	36	43				
20	25	32	41				
15	21	28	37				
10	17	24	29				
	5	11	20	24			
	0	5	5				
				20 S.D.	10.75	20.10	7.4.75

Number of stu

dents 94 236 142 3. Some failing students undoubtedly have the native ability and the high school training to do acceptable college work. Table I, for instance, shows that one student who has an I. Q. of 119 was failing at one of the times considered in this study. An I. Q. of 119 is undoubtedly sufficient for the successful performance of college work. Tables II, III, and IV indicate that there is a large number of students with sufficient high school training, as measured by the tests in questions, to do acceptable college work.

TABLE V
INSTRUCTORS' OPINIONS REGARDING CAUSES OF FAILURE IN
FRESHMAN CLASS OF 1931-32

		QUANT
1	Insufficient industry shown by student.	56
2	Student uses an inferior technique of studying.....	50
3	Insufficient high school preparation (quantity)	43
4	Student possesses apparent inability to read under standingly.....	39
	immaturity.....	35
	when working under pressure.....	31
6.5	Student has difficulty in taking notes on class lectures or other materials given out in the class room, making it appear that she does not profit from the general exercises of the class	31
	Course too advanced for student's present academic level.....	23
a	Student seems unable to interpret scientific data correctly.....	18
11.0	Failure to pay attention in class.....	16
	previous high school training (Quality) hinders rather than helps in present academic situation	13
11.5	Class room inefficiency due to undue haste in laboratory work, written exercises, etc.....	13
13	Student possesses an apparent difficulty in keeping a notebook on outside reading, laboratory work, etc	12
14.5	Student seems unable to manipulate laboratory apparatus.....	11
14.5	Student seems unable correctly to observe laboratory materials and reactions.....	11
16	Student has difficulty in recording observations and experimental data correctly.....	10
17.5	Student possesses an indifferent, don't care attitude.....	

These students who have the native ability and the educational background to do successful college work, but who fail one or more subjects, constitute the most serious problem in educational guidance. They can be spared the embarrassment of failing and the waste of time and money if they can be properly motivated. The work of the guidance counselor in dealing with this group of students is primarily a problem of motivation. They are good college material and can become successful college students if their advisers can get them to work. Their work must be done efficiently if maximum results be obtained.

Teachers' Opinions Regarding Failing Students. Each teacher who reported a failing grade for a member of this group of 94 freshman students was asked to give her opinion regarding the probable cause of the student's failure. These opinions have been summarized and are presented in Table V. In summarizing instructors' opinions, each probable cause of a student's failure was counted only once for a given student. If, for instance, a student received a failing grade under two teachers, and they both reported the same cause of failure, this cause was counted only once.

An analysis of the probable cause of student failures, as reported by the teachers of the failing students, will indicate that many of these causes can be eradicated, and many of these students will, then, perhaps, be able to do successful college work. Some of the causes of failure reported by the teacher can be eradicated in the class room if the teacher can give a small amount of time to such general problems as how the student should keep her notebook on class discussion, on outside readings, on laboratory work, etc. This type of guidance can be accomplished best by the classroom teacher rather than the freshman adviser. It is generally believed that the first few days of each semester, when used in orientation lectures, introducing the students to the type of work they are to do, how they can best do it, and the standards their work will be judged by, will help many students. The adviser can be of service

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGIATE REGISTRARS 23
frequently mentioned cause of difficulty, "Insufficient industry shown by student."

Study Habits of Failing Students. This report does not contain any evidence regarding the study habits of successful college students. If this information were available it would be possible to compare the study habits of successful college students with the study habits of unsuccessful college students. Some recent investigations in study habits have concluded that the better college students frequently do not observe the best procedure in studying. It may possibly be said of this group that they succeed in spite of poor study habits. It stands to reason, however, that students who are unsuccessful should observe certain well-established principles of efficient study.

The following summary presents in the order of importance, as determined by the frequency of mention, certain specified study difficulties noted in the study habits of the failing students, which, if remedied, will, in all probability, be of benefit to the students in removing their academic difficulties. All of the difficulties mentioned were found in at least ten per cent of the 94 students considered in this report. Some of these difficulties were discovered in the study habits of half of the group.

1. Failure to observe a time schedule. These students do not have any particular time for studying each day, nor when they sit down to study a given subject do they allow themselves a given amount of time for the completion of the work. It is highly probable that unsuccessful students using this procedure find that so many other things make demands on their time that they do not get to study as much as they should.

2: Failure to use the proper technique in reading an assigned lesson. These students characteristically devote their first reading to a mastery of details and the second reading to getting a general outline of the material discussed. The better student, as a rule, reverses this procedure and lets the first reading be concerned with the outline and the second

reading with details. This criticism does not consider the rate or comprehension of reading, for that will be discussed later, but deals with the use of reading as a tool in studying.

3. Failure to review at short intervals the larger essentials of the material covered to date in the subject failed.

4. Failure to spend two or three minutes before the formal recitation hour in recalling the material learned while studying.

5. Poor physical conditions and surroundings while studying, including environmental conditions, posture, noise from roommates, etc. This is frequently associated with the student's failure to start studying immediately after placing herself in the proper place for studying—for example, a student goes to her room, sits at her desk, picks up a book, and, instead of beginning work immediately, postpones it some ten or fifteen minutes day dreaming, chatting with a roommate, or doing something else entirely unrelated to studying.

6. Failure to take notes in class on lectures, laboratory work, in organized form, or to organize them after class.

7. Failure or inability of the student to concentrate. As the student studies her attention wanders back and forth between the material being studied and other things. This is usually accompanied by the student's failure to begin again the study process when she discovers her attention has wandered.

8. Failure to inform their teachers of class room difficulties and request their aid.

9. Failure, when they begin work in preparation of a given lesson, to know exactly what they are expected to learn from this material. This difficulty frequently may be avoided if the teacher will spend more time in making assignments in order to be sure that each student knows exactly what is to be accomplished in the preparation of a given lesson.

10. Failure, after they have completed their study of a

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF COLLEGIATE REGISTRARS 25'

11. Failure to relax for a minute or so every forty-five minutes or an hour when working under pressure. The muscles of the eye become fatigued and the body becomes cramped from remaining in one position and the student frequently becomes fatigued physically but thinks she is mentally fatigued and unable to go on.

Reading Difficulties of Failing Freshmen. The preceding unit of the discussion referred among other things to a stu31-80

41-50 . a

-40

11-

20

1-

10 0 r

0 1 2 3 9 's 8 7 8 9 10 1 12 13 19 15 16 17 18 19 Frequency

1. Reading Scores of 88 Failing Students in Whipple's High School and College Reading Test.

dent's proper use of reading as a tool in acquiring information, and it was pointed out that many students who are good readers, mechanically speaking, make poor use of their reading ability. In collecting information regarding the reading ability of failing students use was made of Whipple's High School and College Reading Test, which is generally conceded to give an acceptable measure of a student's ability in this field. Scores coming from Whipple's reading test are interpreted by means of the percentile rank. Students having a percentile rank of 20 or less are such poor readers that they will have difficulty in acquiring a college